Pershing Hill Elementary School

Fort Meade, MD



Technical Report 1

Construction Project Management

October 5, 2009

Mitchell Reiners

Faculty Consultant: Dr. Magent

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Project Schedule Summary	4
Building Statistics Summary	5
Project Cost Evaluation	8
Site Plan of Existing Conditions	11
Local Conditions	12
Client Information	14
Project Delivery System	15
Staffing Plan	17
Appendix A (Summary Project Schedule)	18
Appendix B (D4Cost estimate)	19
Appendix C (RS Means Data)	23
Appendix D (Site Plans used by Contractors)	25
Appendix E (Site Layout Drawing)	28
Appendix F (CSR Data from AACPS.org)	29
Appendix G (Project Organizational Chart)	30
Appendix H (List of Specialty Contractors)	31

Executive Summary

Pershing Hill Elementary School Replacement Project is the replacement of the existing school, which was built in 1960, and a consolidation with West Meade Elementary School at the same site. The state rated capacity of the existing school was 297 students, and the state rated capacity of the new school will be 733 students. The total costs to the owner are \$15.1 million, and the construction costs are \$13.3 million. Demolition of the existing school started on September 2, 2009 and substantial completion is scheduled for February 2011 with occupancy in August.

The project is being delivered using the multiple-prime approach, which is required for public projects, with Jacobs acting at the construction manager. The owner holds 15 lump sum contracts with the specialty contractors, in addition to the contracts with the architect and construction manager. Pershing Hill Elementary School is located entirely within an US army base (Fort Meade), which results in additional challenges.

During construction, the students from Pershing Hill ES will be relocated to Meade Heights ES. Because of this, and the amount of time between substantial completion and occupancy, there are no joint, dual, or phased occupancy requirements on this site.

Project Schedule Summary

A summary of the project schedule is located in Appendix A. The reinforced concrete footings will be poured in area B first, followed by area A and area C last. By sequencing the foundations in this manner, the contractor will be able to start on one part of the building and progress to the other side. The structural and finish sequences will follow the sequence A1, B1, C1, B2, C2 where the first floor is completed before work starts on the second floor. This also means that once one contractor is finished in area A, the next contractor can start. Since Area A is the largest, there is little chance that they will "catch up" while the previous contractor is working in areas B and C. A graphic display of the various sections of the building is shown below.



Building Systems Summary

Yes	No	Work Scope
Х		Demolition Required
Х		Structural Steel Frame
Х		Cast in Place Concrete
	X	Precast Concrete
Х		Mechanical System
Х		Electrical System
Х		Masonry
	X	Curtain Wall
Х		Support of Excavation

Demolition

Demolition of the existing building was required, as the new school will be built on the same site. The existing building contained hazardous materials including lead paint, mercury in the thermostats, and asbestos between the face brick and CMU walls. The abatement was performed by the abatement prime contractor, Delaware Cornerstone Builders, and the other demolition by the demolition prime contractor, Pleasants Construction.

Structural Steel Frame

A composite slab is used where the second floor slab is 3" thick normal weight concrete reinforced with welded wire fabric over galvanized form deck. W16X26 joists are used in areas B and C with W10x15 joists used along the corridors on the second floor and 18KCS2 joists are used with W5X16 joists to support the roof. The roof deck is 1 ½" type 'B' galvanized metal roof deck. Seven different sizes of HSS shaped columns are used. Erection of the structural steel will begin in area A, followed by areas B and C.

Cast in Place Concrete

The foundation system on this project is cast in place concrete. The slab on grade is typically 5" thick concrete reinforced with welded wire fabric over a vapor barrier and 4" of washed gravel, however it is 6" thick concrete at the mechanical room. The top of the footings typically lie 2' below the slab. The footings are reinforced and vary widely in size (from 11 to 99 sqft in area), but only vary between 1' and 1'-6" in thickness. The concrete on this project typically must have a compressive strength of 3000psi at 28 days, but all concrete exposed to weather must have 4500psi and be air entrained.

Precast Concrete

No large amounts of precast concrete are used on this project.

Mechanical System

The building is divided into 11 zones in which the temperature can be controlled. The mechanical room is located on the first floor, adjacent to the cafeteria. The mechanical system included 2 boilers, 46 fan coil units, 6 ductless split system units, 6 rooftop air handling units and 2 rooftop air handling units with energy recovery. All rooftop air handling units run on 480V-3 phase power.

Electrical System

The electrical system includes both 277/480V and 120/208V distribution. Both are three phase with four wires. There is an electrical room located on the first floor across the hall from the mechanical room, and four other electrical closets throughout the building. The main

switchboard carries a connected load of 1592.7 KVA and a demand load of 1276.7 KVA. An emergency intercom is available in each classroom.

There are 52 different lighting fixture types on this project. Most are 277 volt; however there are also some that run on 120 volts. Fluorescent fixtures are primarily used, but there are also HID, incandescent, and LED lights used for specific purposes. All interior lighting must comply with local codes and zoning requirements as well as NFPA 70 and NFPA 101.

Masonry

The concrete masonry shall have a minimum compressive strength of 1900 psi on the net area, and the brick shall have a minimum compressive strength of 3350 psi on the net area. Temporary scaffolding is used during the installation of the masonry. Masonry piers with vertical reinforcement are used which vary in size from 8"x16" to 19"x32" All piers are 100% solid (either solid block, or hollow block filled with 3000 psi grout).

Support of Excavation

Where possible the sides of the excavation will be sloped; however, where that is not possible excavation must be supported by shoring and bracing. Two sediment basins are used to collect water runoff from the site, and to prevent intrusion of water into the excavated areas. Following completion of the building, the western basin will be filled and paved over to form part of the loop where parents can drop off the students and the eastern basin will become part of the baseball diamond.

Curtain Wall

No large area of curtain wall is used on this project.

Technical Report 1

Project Cost Evaluation

The construction costs of Pershing Hill Elementary School totaled \$13.3 million. For the 87,160 sqft building, this represents a cost of \$153.11 per square foot. The total project costs totaled \$15.1 million, representing a cost of \$173.25 per square foot.

The parametric estimate performed using D4Cost estimated the cost of the building to be \$11,800,087. This is lower than the actual cost by just over 10%, but does not contain demolition and abatement of the existing building. The D4Cost information can be found in Appendix B. The D4Cost estimate was based on Carlin Springs Elementary School, which was designed by the same architectural firm (Grimm and Parker) had a similar size (88,521 sqft) and also was two stories.

The total cost for the mechanical and plumbing bid package was \$2,821,000 which corresponds to a cost of \$32.37 per square foot. The total cost for the electrical bid package was \$1,479,900 which corresponds to a cost of \$16.98 per square foot. The total cost of the concrete bid package was \$612,350 the total cost of the masonry bid package was \$1,752,099 and the total cost of the steel bid package was \$853,200. Combined, this gives the total cost of the structural system to be \$3,217,649 which corresponds to a cost of \$36.92 per square foot.

Using the RS Means 2009 data in Appendix C, it is possible to perform a square foot estimate for this building. The building is categorized as face brick with concrete block back up with steel frame. However, the building size is 87,160 sqft and RS Means only goes up to 65,000 sqft for elementary schools. Therefore it is necessary to extrapolate to obtain a square foot cost.

Technical Report 1

Since the average cost per square foot for a building like Pershing Hill Elementary School that is 60,000 sqft is \$166.35 and the average cost per square foot for a building that is 65,000 sqft is \$165.65 linear extrapolation leads to the conclusion that an 87,160 sqft building would cost \$162.55 per square foot (before perimeter, height, or location adjustments).

The perimeter of Pershing Hill Elementary School is 1294 linear feet. It is possible to extrapolate the perimeter of the "base" building and the associated perimeter adjustment, but doing such would give a much larger perimeter adjustment than is appropriate, since the change in the perimeter adjustment is not linear. It is conservative to use the numbers from the 65,000 sqft building (which is the largest size recorded for elementary schools). Using them, the perimeter adjustment is found to reduce the cost by \$13.30 per square foot. The height adjustment is much simpler; since Pershing Hill Elementary School has a 13 feet story height (where the base building has a 15 feet story height) the cost of the building is reduced by \$2.90 per square foot.

With these adjustments taken into account, the cost of Pershing Hill Elementary School is estimated at \$146.35 per square foot which corresponds to \$12,755,866. \$18,675 is added to that cost for common additives that are not included in the base building (for Pershing Hill Elementary School, this included a flagpole, kitchen cooler, food warmer, freezer, and the sound system). The costs of these additives are also found in RS Means, below the cost per square foot. When the location factor for its part of Maryland (.93) is taken into account this gives a final estimate of \$11,880,323. This number is very close to the *D4Cost* estimate, which is expected, and is still lower than the actual construction costs.

These estimates are likely lower than the actual cost due to the special features of the building. Some of these features are purely decorative (such as the curved aluminum canopy and decorative brick) and others (such as the energy recovery units) add to the initial cost but lower the energy consumption of the building. Since *D4Cost* and RS Means only address upfront cost it can make these latter features seem less economical, while they can potentially save much more than their initial cost over the building's life cycle. Another possible contributor is that this is a prevailing wage job, which regulates the rate of pay for the prime contractors and establishes a minimum amount they need to pay their employees.

Site Plan of Existing Conditions

The site plans used by the contractors during the various phases of construction can be found in Appendix D. These plans were used to develop a site layout drawing for the temporary facilities on my own version of the site plan which can be found in Appendix E. The only neighboring structures are one story single family housing units for military members stationed at Ft. Meade.

Local Conditions

Pershing Hill Elementary School is located entirely within an US army base (Fort Meade). This results in challenges: for access for personnel, materials and equipment; coordinating with permitting authorities, as well as the authorities which have jurisdiction at the county level; and meeting additional contract requirements (e.g. in the event of a base lockdown). Anne Arundel County is very concerned with possible storm water runoff from construction sites. As such, two sediment control ponds are installed which will collect and trap the runoff.

The soil at this site is primarily brown silty sand near the surface and extending to 30 feet below the surface. Beneath the sand is brown elastic silt, lean clay, and fat clay. The bearing capacity of the site was found to be 2,500 psf during the test borings. Because of this, relatively deep fill will be required for the building support and significant settlement is expected in the northern portion of the building (leading to the recommendation of settlement plates at two locations and a waiting period prior to footing installation). The water table for this site was found at depths of 8 to 27 ft below grade, and generally dips down towards the North and East. Earthwork was recommended to be done between May and November to minimize problems with the weather and on-site soils. The contractors were advised that the eastern end of the site was used as a 'burn pit' about 50 years ago. However, no evidence of any burn pit was encountered during the geotechnical investigation.

The Anne Arundel County Millersville Landfill & Resource Recovery Facility is the only Anne Arundel facility equipped to accept payment, so if the waste shipment contains any debris which disposal needs to be paid for, it must be taken there. There is an annual service charge in the amount of \$275 in addition to the charge for the disposal. Solid waste costs \$75 per load, while large, unusually difficult to handle items (including concrete) costs \$200 per ton. The landfill does accept construction debris; however the county urges that material to be taken to private landfills. As such, it is preferable to hire a private company to handle garbage disposal on this project.

Client Information

The owner of this project is Anne Arundel County Public Schools. Pershing Hill Elementary was originally constructed in 1960 and serves elementary students from kindergarten through the fifth grade. This replacement project will replace the original school, and consolidate it with West Meade Elementary School at the same site. The state rated capacity of the existing school was 297 students, and the state rated capacity of the new school will be 733 students. However, West Meade Elementary School (which is consolidating with Pershing Hill Elementary School at the site) is projected to have 359 students this year and also serves prekindergarten students. During construction, the students from Pershing Hill ES will be relocated to Meade Heights ES. Because of this, there are no joint or dual occupancy requirements on this site, but it is still necessary to finish before the 2011-2012 school year starts.

Cost, schedule, quality and safety are all important to the owner. Because it is a public project, cost is very important and procuring additional funds can be difficult and time consuming if construction is over budget. Currently the project has appropriated \$13,743,000 towards engineering, construction, and project support, of an approved \$34,369,000 (\$27 million of which is designated for construction), so there appears to be little risk of running over budget. Quality is also very important, due to the long period of time the building will likely be in use (the existing school was used for almost 50 years). Schedule is possibly the most flexible of the four main criteria for this project; currently substantial completion is scheduled for February 2011, but the school isn't scheduled for occupancy until August of 2011. The original schedule called for occupancy by August of 2010 with contract closeout in October of that year. The data from the csr found at http://www.aacps.org/planning/csr.pdf can also be found in Appendix F.

Technical Report 1

Project Delivery System

Pershing Hill Elementary School is being delivered using a traditional design-bid-build approach with a multiple prime contract structure in which Jacobs Facilities, Inc. is acting as the construction manager. Because it is a public school project, the multiple prime approach is mandated by law. An organizational chart showing the key project team members is shown in Appendix G with their role followed by organization, and the name of the key contact at the bottom. Lump sum contracts are shown with a solid black line, and key communication lines are shown with a solid red line. Because it was impractical to include all specialty contractors, a table listing them is shown in Appendix H.

All of the contracts are lump sum, and are held between the owner and the contractor. The specialty contractors won their contracts in a public bid, where the contract is awarded to the lowest qualified (defined as a company that has been in business for at least three years, and has completed at least three jobs of similar size and scope) bidder. The engineering contractors work as consultants to the architect, and the architect holds their contracts. The construction manager (Jacobs) and architect (Grimm and Parker) were chosen under professional service contracts. In this role, they make a presentation to the Anne Arundel County Public School Board every five years; if they are successful, they will be awarded a group of construction contracts over the next five year period.

Jacobs acts as the construction manager, and while they do not hold any of the subcontractor's contracts they are required to organize the work and perform the other functions delegated to the owner under standard AIA contracts. In this capacity they must stay in constant

Technical Report 1

communication with the specialty contractors., architect, and owner. The specialty contractors are required to obtain performance bonds as well as insurance for general, automotive, and excess liability. They are also required to provide warranties for their work for at least a year following substantial completion.

The multiple-prime delivery system is appropriate for this project because it is required by law. The lump sum contracts are a good choice, as they give the owner a good idea of the final costs early (giving them time to procure the necessary funds; and possibly cancel or postpone construction if they cannot achieve funding) but require the construction documents to be complete before bidding starts. This makes it impossible to fast-track a project such as Pershing Hill Elementary School, but minimizes variance between the expected and final cost of the project. This could be taken as evidence that the budget is more important to the owner then the schedule.

Staffing Plan

The Senior Project Manager for Jacobs Facilities is Andrew Locke, the Project Manager is Ani Nigudkar. Dawn is the On-Site Admin, Alvaro Zumaran is the Project Engineer. Dennis Scholle is the Superintendent, and Sumon is the assistant Superintendent. This is visually described below:



Appendix A

Summary Project Schedule



Appendix B

D4Cost Estimate

Thursday, October 1, 2009

Statement of Probable Cost

Page 1

		Pershing Hill ES	6 - Jul 2009 -	- MD - Other		
	Prepared By:			Prepared For:		
		Grimm + Parker Architects 1355 Beverly Road Ste 105 McLean, VA 22101				
		Fax:			Fax:	
	Building Sq. Size:	87160		Site Sq. Size:	1449210	
	No of floors:	7/31/2009		Foundation	CON	
	No. of buildings:	1		Exterior Walls:	MAS	
	Project Height:	43		Interior Walls:	GYP	
	1st Floor Height:	14		Roof Type:	BUP	
	1st Floor Size:	56340		Project Type:	NEW	
Division			Percent		Sq. Cost	Amount
00	Bidding Requirem	nents	4.08		4.86	423,346
	Bonds & Cert	ficates	1.41		1.67	145,981
	General Cond	litions	2.67		3.18	277,365
01	General Requirem	nents	4.83		5.75	501,517
	Coordination		4.21		0.05	437,002
	Construction	Facilities & Temporary	0.00		0.00	4,700
	Controls		0.08		0.09	8,005
	Materials & E	quipment	0.36		0.43	37,673
	Maintenance		0.14		0.16	14,127
03	Concrete		3.99		4.75	414,399
	Concrete		3.45		4.11	357,890
	Precast		0.54		0.65	56,509
04	Masonry		15.88		18.91	1,648,177
	Masonry		15.88		18.91	1,648,177
05	Metals		9.12		10.86	946,524
	Metals		8.08		9.62	838,216
	Fabricatios		1.00		1.19	103,600
	Expansion CC	NIL OI	0.05		0.05	4,709
06	Wood & Plastics		1.81		2.16	188,363
	Rough Carper	ntry	0.91		1.08	94,182
	Finish Carper	nry	0.91		1.08	94,162
07	Thermal & Moistu	re Protection	7.41		8.82	768,992
	Damproofing	Deefers & Ciding	0.01		0.02	1,413
	Membrane Pr	r Roofing & Siding	4.99		2.94	235 454
	Joint Sealers	Joining	0.14		0.16	14,127
08	Doors & Windows	5	7.41		8.83	769,463
	Doors & Wind	lows	1.72		2.05	178,945
	Special Doors	8	0.20		0.24	20,720
	Entrances & S Special Windo	Storefronts	4.54 0.95		5.40 1.13	470,908 98,891
09	FINISNES		10.80		12.86	1,120,760
	Tile		0.45		0.54	47 091
	Terrazzo		0.68		0.81	70.636
	Acoustical Tre	eatment	1.81		2.16	188,363
	Wood Floorin	g	0.32		0.38	32,964
	Relilient Floor	ing	0.86		1.03	89,472
	Carpet		0.86		1.03	89,472
	Painting	ng	0.14		0.81	70,636
10	Specialties		1 58		1.88	163.876
	Visual Display	Board	0.50		0.59	51,800

Thursday, Octobe	r 1, 2009			Page 2
	Compartments & Cubicles	0.00	0.01	471
	Louvers & Vents	0.01	0.01	942
	Flagpoles	0.14	0.16	14,127
	Identifying Devices	0.18	0.22	18,836
	Lockers	0.32	0.38	32,964
	Fire Protection Specialties	0.02	0.03	2,355
	Operable Partitions	0.05	0.06	5,651
	Storage Shelving	0.05	0.05	4,709
	Toilet & Bath Accessories	0.31	0.37	32,022
11	Equipment	1.68	2.00	174,236
	Theatre & Stage	0.15	0.17	15.069
	Instrumental	0.15	0.18	16.011
	Audio-Visual	0.11	0.13	11.302
	Food Service	0.91	1.08	94,182
	Residential	0.02	0.02	1.884
	Athletic, Recreational & Therapeuti			
	c	0.34	0.41	35,789
12	Furnishings	2.99	3.43	209 555
12	Manufactured Casework	2.00	3.30	287 254
	Furniture & Accessories	0.11	0.13	11,302
14	Conveying Systems	0.36	0.43	37,673
	Elevators	0.36	0.43	37,673
15	Mechanical	16.83	20.04	1,746,502
	Mechanical	16.83	20.04	1,746,502
16	Electrical	11.34	13.51	1,177,269
	Electrical	11.34	13.51	1,177,269
Total B	uilding Costs	100.00	119.09	10,379,653
02	Site Work	100.00	0.98	1,420,435
	Site Preperation	0.24	0.00	3,348
	Earthwork	21.89	0.21	310,870
	Paving & Surfacing	24.75	0.24	351,522
	Utility Piping Materials	35.35	0.35	502,174
	Site Improvement	7.14	0.07	101,391
	Landscaping	10.64	0.10	151,130
121407484		1200.00	0.00	
Total N	on-Building Costs	100.00	0.98	1,420,435
				11 000 007
Total P	roject Costs			11,800,087

Statement of Probable Cost

Building Division Notes

10-11 D D	2.000	1000	2.1		0.000	101101
Pershing	Hill	ES-	Jul	2009	- MD -	Other

Concrete	Formwork, reinforcement, accessories, cast-in-place, curing.
Masonry	Masonry & grout, accessories, unit.
Metals	Structural framing, joists, decking.
Doors & Windows	Metal doors & frames, wood & plastic doors, door opening assemblies.
Finishes	Metal support systems, lath & plaster, gypsum board.
Mechanical	Basic materials & methods, insulation, fire protection, plumbing, HVAC, heat generation, refrigeration, heat transfer, air distribution, controls, testing, adjusting & balancing.
Electrical	Basic materials & methods, power generation - built-up systems, medium voltage distribution, lighting, special systems, communications, electric resistance heating, controls, testing.

Statement of Probable Cost

Page 5

Project Notes

Pershing Hill ES - Jul 2009 - MD - Other

Estimate Based On Case: EU000527 - Education & Training Building Location: DE - Wilmington Date: Mar 1997 Building Size: 85,000

Estimate Based On Case: EU030522 - Carlin Springs Elementary School Location: VA - Arlington Date: Apr 2000 Building Size: 88,521

* Arlington, Virginia * Construction Period June 2000 to September 2001.

Appendix C

RS Means Data



Costs per square foot of floor area

Extension Wall	S.F. Area	25000	30000	35000	40000	45000	50000	55000	60000	65000
CARENOT YYON	L.F. Perimeter	900	1050	1200	1350	1510	1650	1800	1970	2100
Face Brick with Concrete	Steel Frame	171.80	170.15	168.90	168.05	167.60	166.80	166.35	166.35	165.65
Block Back-up	Bearing Walls	163.25	161.60	160.40	159.55	159.10	158.30	157.85	157.85	157.15
Stucco on	Steel Frame	164.50	163.00	161.95	161.25	160.80	160.10	159.75	159.70	159.10
Concrete Block	Bearing Walls	155.95	154.50	153.45	152.70	152.25	151.60	151.25	151.25	150.65
Decorative	Steel Frame	164.45	162.95	161.85	161.10	160.65	159.95	159.55	159.55	158.95
Concrete Block	Bearing Walls	160.40	158.95	157.80	157.05	156.60	155.95	155.55	155.55	154.90
Perimeter Adj., Add or Deduct	Per 100 L.F.	4.30	3.55	3.05	2.70	2.40	2.20	1.95	1.80	1.65
Story Hgt. Adj., Add or Deduct	Per 1 Ft.	1.55	1.50	1.50	1.45	1.45	1.45	1,45	1.50	1.45

The above costs were calculated using the basic specifications shown on the facing page. These costs should be adjusted where necessary for design alternatives and owner's requirements. Reported completed project costs, for this type of structure, range from \$78.90 to \$200.65 per S.F.

Common additives

Description		Unit	\$ Cost	Description	Unit	\$ Cost
Bleachers, Telescoping, manual				Kitchen Equipment, cont,		
To 15 fier	2	Seat	115-160	Dishwasher, 10-12 racks per hr.	Each	4950
16-20 tier		Seat	235 - 288	Food warmer, counter, 1.2 KW	Each	735
21-30 tier		Seat	249 - 300	Freezer, 44 C.F., reach-in	Each	3725
For power operation, add		Seat	45.50 - 71.50	Ice cube maker, 50 lb. per day	Each	1750
Carrels Hardwood		Each	660 - 990	Range with 1 oven	Each	2700
Clock System				Lockers, Steel, single tier, 60" to 72"	Opening	191-310
20 room		Each	16,000	2 tier, 60" to 72" total	Opening	107-141
50 room		Each	39,100	5 tier, box lockers	Opening	65 - 83.50
Emergency Lighting, 25 watt, battery opera	ted			Locker bench, lam. maple top only	LE	21
Lead battery		Each	282	Pedestals, steel pipe	Each	63.50
Nickel cadmium		Each	805	Seating		
Flagpoles, Camplete				Auditorium chair, all veneer	Each	238
Aluminum, 20' high		Each	1650	Veneer back, padded seat	Each	288
40' high		Each	3475	Upholstered, spring seat	Each	277
Fiberglass, 23' high		Each	1775	Classroom, movable chair & desk	Set	65-120
39'-5" high		Each	3325	Lecture hall, pedestal type	Each	227-680
Kilchen Equipment				Sound System		
Broiler		Each	4025	Amplifier, 250 watts	Each	2350
Cooler, 6 ft. long, reach-in		Each	4925	Speaker, ceiling or wall	Each	191
				Trumpet	Each	365

Important: See the Reference Section for Location Factors

with 15' story height and 45,000 square feet				School, Elementary					
of	floor area		Unit	Uni	t Cost	% Of			
Α.	SUBSTRUCTURE		Longer in protection		A DECEMBER OF				
101	0 Standard Foundations 0 Special Foundations	Poured concrete; strip and spread footings N/A	S.F. Ground	5.0	3 5.03	- sensiti			
201	0 Slab on Grade 0 Basement Excavation	4" reinforced concrete with vapor barrier and granular base Site preparation for slab and trench for foundation wall and footing	S.F. Slab S.F. Ground	4.7.	4 4.74	12.1%			
D	Dusement vvdits	4 roundation wall	L.F. Wall	78	4.45				
. D .	SHELL			Star out 1					
101	0 Floor Construction	N/A							
102	0 Roof Construction	Metal deck on open web steel joists	SE Roof	5.10	5.10	4.4 %			
	B20 Exterior Enclosure		5.1. KOOF	0.19	5.19	A ZATELOWS			
201	D Exterior Walls	Face brick with concrete block backup 70% of wall	S.F. Wall	30.8	5 10.87	E CARACTER S			
203	Exterior Doors	Steel outward projecting 25% of wall	Each	696	4.57	13.5%			
	B30 Roofing	5% of wall	Each	3215	.57				
3010	Roof Coverings	Single-ply membrane with flashing: polyisocyranurate insulation				. iet. 1			
3020	Roof Openings	N/A	S.f. Roof	7.78	7.78	6.5%			
C.	INTERIORS			- Special and	Cas Manadananana	States			
1010	Partitions	Concrete block	and the second second	a standard	-Tana erer Cara	No. of Street, or			
1020	Interior Doors	Single leaf kalamein fire doors	S.F. Partition	8.76	4.38				
1030	Fittings	Toilet partitions	S.F. Floor	875	1.25				
2010	Stair Construction	N/A	-	2.03	2.03	21.0%			
3020	Floor Finishes	75% paint, 15% glazed coating, 10% ceramic tile	S.F. Surface	4.08	4.08	21.070			
3030	Ceiling Finishes	Mineral fiber tile on concealed zee bars	S.F. Floor	6.87	6.87				
D.	SERVICES		S.F. Ceiling	6.38	6.38				
in a la	D10 Conversion			Territoria.					
1010	Elevators & Lifts	N/A	and the second second			and the second s			
1020	Escalators & Moving Walks	N/A	-	-	-	0.0 %			
	D20 Plumbing		CONTRACTOR OF	Service and	CART COLOR	CHARLES AND ADD			
2010	Plumbing Fixtures	Kitchen, bathroom and service fixtures, supply and drainage 1 Fixture/625 S.F. Floor	Each	6394	10.23	I CARLES			
2020	Rain Water Distribution	Gas fired water heater	S.F. Floor	.48	.48	9.8%			
200	D30 HVAC	Roor arains	S.F. Roof	1	1				
3010	Energy Supply	Oil fired hot water wall fin radiation		0.0					
3020	Heat Generating Systems	N/A	S.F. Floor	8.73	8.73				
3030	Cooling Generating Systems	N/A		-	-	17.0%			
3050	Other HVAC Sur & Equipment	Split systems with air cooled condensing units	S.F. Floor	12.60	12.60	17.7/0			
al al	D40 Fire Protection	I N/A	_	_	-				
4010	Sprinklers	Sprinklers light hazard	S. S. C. State	Sec. 1	Carl and the state	- en antes			
4020	Standpipes	Standpipe	S.F. Floor	2.33	2.33	2.2%			
	D50 Electrical		S.F. Floor	.30	30	CONTRACTOR OF			
5010	Electrical Service/Distribution	800 ampere service, panel board and feeders	S.F. Floor	1.41	1 1 41	Statute La			
020	Lighting & Branch Wiring	High efficiency fluorescent fixtures, receptacles, switches, A.C. and misc. power	S.F. Floor	9.91	9.91				
090	Other Electrical Systems	Addressable alarm systems, internet wiring, communications systems and emergency lighting	S.F. Floor	3.37	3.37	12.4%			
Er	INDMENT & EUDAUCIUS	Seriel Seriel Circle, 1.0 KYY	S.F. Floor	.08	.08				
010	NUMBER OF PURNISHIN	05	No.			A STREET			
020	Commercial Equipment	N/A		and the second se		and the second			
030	Vehicular Equipment	N/A	S.F. Floor	.13	.13	0.1.0			
090	Other Equipment	N/A	-	-	-	V.1 %			
SP	ECIAL CONSTRUCTION		-		-				
020	Integrated Construction		The line		Self Tallors	the state of the state			
040	Special Facilities	N/A N/A	-	-	-	0.0%			
. BI	JILDING SITEWORK	N/A	-	-	-	0.0 /0			
			and the second			C. Marine			
-			Sub-	lotal	118.93	100%			
	ARCHITECT FEES	equirements: 10%, Overhead: 5%, Profit: 10%)		25%	29.76				
	and miller fills			7%	10.41				
-				1.10	19.41	1			

Appendix D

Site Plans used by Contractor







Appendix E





Appendix F

CSR Data from AACPS.org

Pershing Hill ES - Replacement

	Admin	strative Data			Bulletin Board
Architect	Grimm and Par	(Ar			
General Contractor:	Construction Ma	nager Jacobs Fac	ilties		
Phase of Work:	Construction				
	Vita	Statistics			
	B	efore	A	iter	and the second sec
State Rated Capacity	2	97	7.	33	
Gross Square Footage	39	,200	82,	771	
Replacement school consolid Abstement and Demolition Bi April 1, 2009. Bids opened M relocated to Meade Heights E	Curr Curr d Package and so lay 26, 2009. Co S. Abatement be	I ES and West Mea ent Status parate Constructio struction started Ji gins July 6, 2009.	ade ES at this site n Bid Package w une 15, 2009. Sci	are advertised on hool has been	
MDE permit approval pending	Area J	of Concern			
	Frois Ordelaal Seb		Pahadula	A náuni	
Education Oncelifications				Ech 09	
Education Specifications	Dec 07	Jea	-07	Dec 07	
Peesionity Study	May 09			May 09	
Schematic Design	Aug 00	IVIEL A	- 08	Aur 00	
Design Development	Aug-uo		-00	AUG-00	
Construction Documents	00-08	Lie	0-Uð	Dec-06	
rennits and Approvais	Dec-08	Ap	-00	M 00	
Big Upening	Feb-09	Ma	-09 -09	May-Ua	
Start Construction	Mar-09	3.5		JUIHUS	
Uccupancy	Aug-10	Aug	F 11		
Contract Closeout	Uct-10	Oc	E 11		
	Fig	car Data	Oursen		
	Approved	Approved	Gument	En sumbras d	
Direc & Cardenadar	BOE Program	AACO Program	Appropriation	Encumbered	
Plans & Engineering	\$2,392,000	\$2,392,000	\$2,392,000	\$ 1,081,168	
Construction	27,293,000	27,293,000	10,917,200	0	
FF&E	2,515,000	2,515,000	0	0	
Project Support	2,169,000	2,169,000	433,800	982	
Total	\$34,369,000	\$34,369,000	\$13,743,000	\$1,082,150	
		Ch	ange Order S	ummary	
	Cos	1		R	emarks
Construction Related					
User Request					
	Iotal				

Page 15 of 36

7-2009

Appendix G

Project Organizational Chart



Appendix H

List of Specialty Contractors

Role	Company	Key Contact
		Michael Zink
Site Prime Contractor	M. P. Zink Construction	Jr.
	Delaware Cornerstone	
Abatement Prime Contractor	Builders	KC Goel
Demolition Prime Contractor	Pleasants Construction	Mark Czarniak
Concrete Prime Contractor	Canyon Contracting	Tom Hall
Masonry Prime Contractor	Pompano Masonry	Tim Carroll
Steel Prime Contractor	Kinsley Manufacturing	Justin Hess
General Works Prime Contractor	Hancock & Albanese, Inc.	Mark Nolan
Roofing Prime Contractor	J&K Roofing	Dominic
Windows Prime Contractor	Spear Window	Donny Eckert
Kitchen Equipment Prime Contractor	Singer Equipment	Mark Woolcock
Casework Prime Contractor	Steel Products	Ed Joholske
		Brandon
Structural Wiring Prime Contractor	Wire Solutions	Weaver
Mechanical & Plumbing Prime		
Contractor	LH Cranston, Inc.	John Hoke
Fire Protection Prime Contractor	Kennedy Fire Protection	Rick Pensinger
Electrical Prime Contractor	Key Systems Electrical	Gary Rhinehart